To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps, Dated June 7, 1978

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, PUD-29-82, and recommended that the petitioner, Don Mitchell Construction be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

Hillside Apartments, more particularly decribed as part of the following parcel (the rest of which is now zoned RS):

to wit: Seminary lots 161, 162, 163, 164 in Monroe County, Indiana.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

> PATRICK MURPHY, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 18 day of November, 198.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 19th day of November , 198 .

FRANCIS X. McCLOSKEY, Mayor

City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

The ordinance will rezone a small part of the site from base to RS and will approve an outline plan for 124 clustered modular units at a density of 5.7 units/acre for a site owned by Don Mitchell and located on the northeast corner of Allen and Adams Streets, and designate the site a PUD.

11 () 1 () 2 () 2 () 2 ()

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

| In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508, I | hereby certify that the attached | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|
| Ordinance Number 82-69, is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission Case Number $PUD-29-82$, which was given a recommendation of APPROVAL by a vote of 9 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 Abstentions by the Bloomington | | | |
| | | City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on October 20, , 1982. | |
| | | | Timothy a. Musles |
| Date: October 22 , 1982 | Tim Mueller, Secretary | | |
| | Plan Commission | | |
| Received by the Common Council Office this 35 day of October, 1982. | | | |
| Patricia Williams, City Clerk | | | |
| Fiscal | Impact Statement | | |
| Appropriation Ordinance # | Ordinance #Resolution # | | |
| Type of Legislation: | | | |
| Appropriation End of Program Budget Transfer New Program Salary Change Bonding Zoning Change X Investments New Fees Annexation | Penal Ordinance Grant Approval Administrative Change Short-Term Borrowing Other | | |
| | | | |
| If the legislation directly affects City by the City Controller: | funds, the following must be completed | | |
| Cause of Request: | 경기의 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | | |
| Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need | EmergencyOther | | |
| Funds Affected by Request: | | | |
| Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 \$ Revenue to Date | <u> </u> | | |
| Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) | | | |
| Projected Balance \$ | \$ | | |
| Signature | of Contoller | | |
| Will the legislation have a major impact liability or revenues? Yes <u>x</u> No | on existing City appropriations, fiscal | | |
| If the legislation will not have a major reason for your conlusion. | fiscal impact, explain briefly the | | |
| If the legislation will have a major fiscon City costs and revenues will be and in significant additional expenditures in the (Continue on second sheet if necessary) the city will have to assume use maintenance of the north-south as public R.O.W. | ne future. Be as specific as possible. Upon anticipated future annexation ual costs of services including | | |
| | | | |
| Agency submitting legislation Plan Co | ommission | | |
| Ry Min Minollow | Post and a second secon | | |

